



Abstract

Much of the success of deep learning is due to choosing good neural net architectures and being able to train them effectively. A type of architecture that has been long sought is one that combines decision trees and neural nets. This is straightforward if the tree makes soft decisions (i.e., an input instance follows all paths in the tree with different probabilities), because the model is differentiable. However, the optimization is much harder if the tree makes hard decisions, but this produces an architecture that is much faster at inference, since an instance follows a single path in the tree. We show that it is possible to train such architectures, with guaranteed monotonic decrease of the loss, and demonstrate it by learning trees with linear decision nodes and deep nets at the leaves. The resulting architecture improves state-of-the-art deep nets, by achieving comparable or lower classification error but with fewer parameters and faster inference time. In particular, we show that, rather than improving a ResNet by making it deeper, it is better to construct a tree of small ResNets. The resulting tree-net hybrid is also more interpretable.

Work supported by NSF award IIS–2007147

Motivation: Decision Trees + Neural Nets

Deep Neural Nets

- + representation learning: can learn and extract good features
- + scalable and efficient optimization (e.g. using SGD)
- + etc...
- relatively long inference time
- interpretability is non-trivial

Decision Trees

- + interpretability: thanks to the hierarchical structure
- + fast inference time: instance follows unique root-leaf path
- etc...
- difficult to differentiable, non-convex)
- do not extract/learn features
- simple models at each node (e.g. axis-aligned) \rightarrow limited feature utilization

These advantages and limitations of the decision trees and neural nets motivate us for combining them to obtain a better model: • Employ neural nets inside tree nodes. Nodes are now have

- feature extraction capability.
- The inference time is still "relatively" fast due to conditional computation.
- New model would be more interpretable compared to regular neural nets.

LEARNING A TREE OF NEURAL NETS Arman Zharmagambetov and Miguel A. Carreira-Perpiñán Dept. Computer Science & Engineering, UC Merced

Training hybrids of trees and ne

Optimizing such models is difficult because t discrete. Majority of the existing works rely on:

- soft relaxation (a.k.a probabilistic trees) who follows all root-to-leaf paths with certain pro optimize but have slow inference time (not
- greedy top-down tree induction based on "p highly suboptimal trees.

Our proposal:



Consider above neural tree architecture which

- Neural nets in the leaves: each leaf special semantically similar group (e.g. subset of cl
- Sparse linear decision nodes (i.e. $f_i(x) = \mathbf{w}$ figure). Motivation: decision nodes are weat responsible to send an instance to the corre responsible for doing a very high level class

classification is done by NNs at the leaves. How to train this model? Use TAO-non-greedy trains a decision tree with hard splits (i.e. input path); can handle tree nodes of arbitrary comp oblique and beyond); shows promising results as well as tree-based ensembles. TAO repeat optimizing over a subset of nodes and fixing optimization itself is done by training a binary nodes and a neural net in the leaves.

input training set $\{(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y}_n)\}_{n=1}^N$; initial tree $\mathbf{T}(\cdot; \boldsymbol{\Theta})$ of and with parameters $\boldsymbol{\Theta} = \{\boldsymbol{\theta}_i\}$, where $\boldsymbol{\theta}_i$ each nod $\mathcal{N}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_\Delta \leftarrow \text{nodes at depth } 0, \ldots, \Delta, \text{ respectivel}$ repeat for d = 0 to Δ parfor $i \in \mathcal{N}_d$ if *i* is a leaf then $\theta_i \leftarrow$ train a neural net on the training point that reach leaf i else compute the "best" child for each training points that reach node *i* and set it as a pseudolabel (call this modified training set \mathcal{R}_i) $\theta_i \leftarrow \text{train a linear binary classifier on } \mathcal{R}_i$ until stop return T

train (non-

eural nets	4	Experiments				
the whole architecture is : nere each instance		We experimentally evaluate our proposed method against tree-ba or neural net based models or combinations of those. Our produ- trees of neural nets have comparable performance w.r.t. deep nets				
a tree anymore). purity" criteria: generate	<u>na</u>	Method	$\frac{S}{E_{\text{test}}}$ (%)	Number of params	Inference (FLOPS)	
≥ 0 $f_3(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ NN		CART axis-aligned CART oblique Linear Classifier	12.50 11.00 7.81	(4k) (3.2M) 8k	(12) (9k) 16k	
	IST	Random Forests Shallow NDF (sNDF)	4.11 3.21 2.80 2.71	(3.6M) (3.6M)	(2.5k) (18M) (2.5k)	
	MM	Neural Decision Tree (NDT) tao-mnist-cnn2	2.71 2.10 0.91	(3.0M) (2M) 24k (0.5M)	(2.3K) (0.5M) 0.3M (4.3M)	
n has: lizes on some classes).		Adaptive Neural Trees (ANT) LeNet5	0.69	0.1M 0.4M 21k	(4.310) – 4.2M	
$\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{x} + b_i$ in the above eak classifiers which are esponding leaf. They are	0	ResNet20 tao-cifar-resnet20	8.51 7.81	0.27M 1.07M	(58.42M) (58.42M)	
sification and the actual v tree learning algorithm:	CIFAR-1	ResNet56 Adaptive Neural Trees (ANT) tao-cifar-resnet56	6.73 6.72 6.51	0.85M 1.30M 1.70M	(183.11M) — (183.11M)	
out follow one root-to-leaf plexity (e.g. axis-aligned, s in training a single tree	_	ResNet110 DenseNet-BC(k=24)	6.43 3.74	1.70M 27.2M	(370.15M) 	
tedly alternates between the remaining ones. The classifier in the decision			2 بي بي و و و ي بي بي د بي بي ي و و و ي بي بي د بي			
of depth ∆ de parameters ly			automobil automobil b hor fr			
			11202			

- classes rather than classifying all of them.



Poster Number: 1823

ased uced s but



• Hierarchical structure allows interpretability in some sense. • Above figure shows the class distributions of the points that reach the corresponding node. Each leaf focuses only on subset of